Summary of questions from meeting on 27 November 2024

Number	Question for discussion/response	
	Programme Document	
1.	How do PINS envisage the Enhance pre-application service working	
2.	Do you know enough about the project- would a further more detailed briefing or site visit be of assistance?	
3.	Regularity of review of the Programme Document	
4.	How do you envisage us including views of the statutory consultees on the Programme Document? Who do you mean by statutory consultees in this context?	
4.	Level of expectation for the document given the stage of the project ie circa 2 years until DCO submission.	
	Universal Service Features	
5.	Feedback from PINS?	
	Supplementary component elements	
6.	Evidence Plan- We are undertaking an Evidence Plan process in relation to HRA and WFD. It would be useful to understand how PINS would see this process working for other issues/aspects or if there are any good practice examples which we could be referred to.	
7.	Principal Areas of Disagreement Summary Statements (PADSS)- We are familiar with PADSS that have been used on other DCOs including Luton, A66 and Gatwick Airport Northern Runway. Does PINS recommend a specific approach? We have had a number of initial meetings with key stakeholders to introduce them. However, there is currently limited guidance around how 'disagreement' should be defined or what this means. It would therefore be helpful to understand if any further guidance will be developed and any	

	feedback PINS have received from stakeholders who have engaged with these.	
8.	Policy Compliance Document (PCP)- We are proposing to produce a PCD. There are a few key elements within the NPS ie Value for Money Statement, Recreational Amenities Statement, relationship to our approved Water Resources Management Plan which we would like to engage with PINS in relation to as the Project develops.	
9.	Design Approach Document- We are currently discussing the design documents which we need to produce for the DCO, particularly in light of the complexity of the scheme. We are aware of the Advice on Good Design and would like to discuss with PINS what the expectations are for the DAD, any examples of good practice and identify opportunities for PINS to help define the structure of our DAD.	
10.	Production of mature outline control mechanisms-We intend to produce a number of control documents. It would be helpful at this stage to understand what PINS consider a 'mature document' and if you could highlight any exemplars. Subject to your response, and at this stage, we envisage engaging on this component in relation to any 'blockers' that may arise or how we may deal with any 'novel' or complex issues.	
11.	Muli- Party Meetings- We look forward to engaging with PINS on this component. From PINS experience leading these multi-party meetings, we would be interested in hearing how PINS have been deployed to best understand where PINS engagement can be most beneficial.	
12.	Preparation of Compulsory Acquisition and Temporary Possession-We will be including elements of CA and TP in the DCO application. What additional pre-application support are PINS able to offer? Are there good examples	

	of Statement of Reasons or Funding Statements that PINS recommend we look at?	
13.	Preparation of Evidence to Support Public Duty- We will submit a statement with our application, however we do not currently propose to engage with PINS on this matter.	
14.	Multiparty application readiness check- We note that this is currently a trial and would like to understand how long the trial is lasting/whether it is likely to be permanent and any feedback from those who have gone through the process, including the programme implications so that we can consider this further.	
	Issues Tracker	
15.	Feedback from PINS including any guidance no how they are defining issues.	
16.	Level of detail PINS would expect to see, taking into account the early stage of the project.	
17.	We may like to use or own tracker- PINS review this approach.	